# THE PERPENDICULAR QUALITIES OF MATTER

*Effluence, the Formal Register, and the Dimensional Source of Qualitative Properties*

Christopher E. Etter · Catharturgy Corpus · 2026

## Abstract

This paper extends the perpendicularity principle of Kenotic Effluent Panapotheism from the domain of consciousness into the domain of physical properties as such. The central argument is that the qualitative properties of material substances — the wetness of water, the conductivity of copper, the elasticity of rubber — cannot be located in the fundamental particles that compose those substances, nor in the mathematical descriptions that predict their behaviour, nor in the fields that mediate their interactions. They derive, through what the system names effluence, from a formal register dimensionally perpendicular to the physical order: intersecting it at every point where configured matter exists, yet not reducible to the physical description's own terms. The paper develops this through the test case of water's wetness, identifies the explanatory gap in the mainstream concept of emergence, proposes that string theory's compact extra dimensions provide independent mathematical evidence for the perpendicular register, and situates the argument within the system's account of the soul as the organ through which the compact-dimensional structure becomes accessible. It is updated in three respects consonant with the system as now stated. First, it is reconciled with the dimensional-intersection cosmology: the formal register and the physical are dimensionally and substantially distinct yet continuous at the intersection, on the body-soul paradigm, and the relation is kenotic and effluent — the divine energy poured forth and dimensionally conditioned — not a separate Platonic realm communicating across a gap. Second, the Logos-defines-form and Spirit-gives-quality distinction is sharpened by the formal account of the Dyad and the Triad: the Dyadic construction of the formal structure and the Triadic relating of qualitative character. Third, effluence is identified explicitly as the named mechanism of the system's kenotic outpouring at the level of physical quality.

*Perpendicular Ontology · Qualities · Effluence · Emergence · Water · Formal Register · Compact Dimensions · String Theory · Calabi-Yau · Dimensional Intersection · Dyad and Triad · Spirit · Binah · KEP · Catharturgy*

## I. The Question: Where Do Qualities Come From?

Take a cloud of subatomic particles — protons, neutrons, electrons. Considered individually, these fermions have measurable properties: mass, charge, spin. But they do not have the properties of the substances they compose. An isolated proton is not metallic; an isolated electron is not wet; an isolated neutron is not elastic. The qualities that define our experience of the material world — wetness, hardness, colour, conductivity, viscosity, taste, opacity — are not properties of the fundamental particles. They are properties of specific configurations of those particles, bound by the fundamental forces into the relational structures we call atoms, molecules, and substances.

This familiar observation conceals a philosophical question of the first order: where are the qualities before the configuration occurs? When one proton and one electron are bound into the hydrogen configuration, the result has properties — a specific emission spectrum, reactivity, electronegativity — that neither particle possessed. Add a second proton, a neutron, and an electron in the helium configuration, and the same kind of fundamental particles now instantiate categorically different properties. The particles did not change. What changed is the relational structure. Scale the problem upward: bind two hydrogen atoms and one oxygen atom into a water molecule, and the result has polarity, hydrogen-bonding capacity, a liquid state at room temperature, and the qualitative character we experience as wetness. Hydrogen is not wet. Oxygen is not wet. Water is wet. The question is precise: where does the wetness come from?

The question is not where the mathematical description of wetness comes from — quantum mechanics describes molecular behaviour with extraordinary precision, correctly predicting water's bond angle of 104.5 degrees, its dipole moment, its thermodynamic behaviour. The question is where the qualitative character comes from — what it is about this specific mathematical configuration that produces wetness rather than some other quality or no quality at all. The mathematics predicts; it does not explain the qualitative character of what it predicts.

## II. The Field as Grammar, and the Limit of Emergence

The electromagnetic field is the medium through which the relational structures of configured matter are instantiated, communicating the orbital geometry, the energy levels, and the permitted transitions that make a configuration hydrogen rather than something else. The analogy to grammar is exact: the field, described by Maxwell's equations and their quantum extensions, is a field of potential relational configurations, specifying the syntax of charged-particle interaction. But the syntax does not contain the semantics. Maxwell's equations do not contain hydrogen-ness or helium-ness as stored properties; the field provides the relational grammar, and the qualities are what that grammar produces when instantiated — but the grammar does not contain or predict the qualitative character of what it produces.

This means there are exactly two possible accounts of where the qualities are. Either every fundamental particle already contains, latently, every quality of every substance that could be built from it — every electron carrying within itself the wetness of water, the conductivity of

copper, dormant until the right configuration activates it; or the qualities are communicated to the configured particles from a source other than the particles themselves. The first is implausible on its face: it requires that a dimensionless point particle with three measurable properties contain an effectively infinite library of latent qualities. The electron has mass, charge, and spin. It does not have latent wetness.

The standard scientific response is emergence: the properties of water emerge from the specific configuration of its molecular bonds. Emergence is a genuine and important concept — it correctly identifies the conditions under which new properties appear, complex systems exhibiting properties their components lack. But it is incomplete: it names the phenomenon without locating the source. To say wetness emerges from the configuration of $H_2O$ is to say that when matter is configured this way, wetness appears. This is true, but it does not answer where the wetness was before it appeared, or what it is about this configuration that produces this quality rather than another or none. Emergence describes the conditions of appearance without identifying the source of what appears — precisely parallel to the mainstream account of consciousness, where 'consciousness emerges from neural complexity' names the conditions without telling us where consciousness was before or what complexity has that produces experience rather than mere processing.

This explanatory gap is structural, not a lacuna to be filled by more investigation at the same level: it is the gap between a mathematical-structural description, which physics provides with precision, and the qualitative character of what that description describes, which physics on its own terms cannot address, because qualitative character is not a quantity. This is the hard problem of consciousness extended to the philosophy of nature: not only why is there something it is like to be conscious, but why is there something it is like to be water. The system names the mechanism that fills this gap effluence — the outward flow of qualitative character from the perpendicular formal register into the configured matter of the dimensional world. Emergence describes the phenomenon from below; effluence names the mechanism from above.

Emergence tells you when and where qualities appear. It does not tell you where they come from. The gap between mathematical description and qualitative character is not one more mathematics can close, because qualitative character is not a mathematical quantity. It is the signature of a register that mathematics can access but does not contain. Effluence names the flow of qualitative character from that register into the configured matter of the world.

## III. The Perpendicular Formal Register

If the qualities are not in the particles, not in the field, not in the mathematics, and not adequately explained by emergence, then they come from a register that is not identical to any of these — one that intersects the physical order at every point where configured matter exists, since qualities are present wherever configured matter is, but is not reducible to the physical description's terms. This is a perpendicular register in the system's established sense: genuinely intersecting the dimensional order, present at every point, yet orthogonal to every axis along which physical description extends. The same argument the system develops for consciousness — that

phenomenal properties cannot be derived from any quantity of physical predicates, because consciousness inhabits a dimension orthogonal to the axis along which physical predicates apply — extends to qualitative properties generally. Wetness cannot be derived from any quantity of mathematical description of molecular configuration, because wetness is a quality and mathematical description deals in quantities. The perpendicularity is between the qualitative and the quantitative — between what a thing is like and what its measurable properties are.

This must now be stated in the dimensional terms the system has developed, which both sharpens the claim and corrects a misleading way of putting it. The formal register is not a separate Platonic realm communicating qualities across a gap to a qualitatively neutral physical world. Substance is dimensionally indexed: what is substance in one register has no cross-dimensional meaning in another, so the relation between the formal register and the physical is neither sameness of substance nor a substance-gap, but dimensional distinctness joined by continuity at the intersection — on the paradigm of the body and the soul. The formal register and the physical configuration are dimensionally and substantially distinct, yet continuous at the intersection, which is the configured matter itself: every point where matter is configured is an intersection at which the formal register is continuous with the physical, communicating the qualitative character that the physical register registers as the quality of the substance. This is not naive Platonism; the perpendicular register is not a separate place but a separate dimension of the same reality, continuous with the physical at every intersection where configured matter exists.

And it is, in keeping with the system as now stated, a kenotic and effluent relation rather than a creation from nothing. The qualitative character is not manufactured from nothing and inserted into matter across a gap; it is the divine outpouring, dimensionally conditioned, continuous from its source and continuous with the physical configuration at the intersection. Effluence is the name of this: the flowing-forth of qualitative character from the formal register, which is itself the divine energy poured out and conditioned, into the configured matter that is continuous with it at the point of configuration. Where emergence says qualities appear from below, bubbling up from complexity without a source, effluence says qualities flow from the perpendicular depth — the divine outpouring conditioned into the formal register, continuous at the intersection with the matter whose configuration is the occasion of the quality.

## IV. The Logos and the Spirit: The Dyad and the Triad in Matter

A distinction within the divine creative act locates the qualitative source precisely, and the formal account of the Dyad and the Triad sharpens it. The Logos — the second hypostatic mode, Chokmah, the left-hemisphere analytical-expressive pole, the Dyadic form of construction — defines the formal mathematical structure of each configuration: the relational grammar, the syntactic rules, the quantitative architecture that physics describes. The Logos is why the Schrödinger equation works, why the bond angle is 104.5 degrees, why the mathematics predicts. The Spirit — the third hypostatic mode, Binah, the right-hemisphere receptive-contextual pole, the Triadic form of relation — communicates the qualitative character appropriate to each formal structure: the wetness, the colour, the experiential texture that makes configured matter something rather than a mere set of parameters. The Logos defines the form; the Spirit gives the

form its qualitative life.

The formal account of the Dyad and the Triad shows why this division falls exactly where it does. The Dyad is the form of construction — the building of a complex unity by combination — which is precisely what the formal mathematical structure of a configuration is: the orbital geometry and energy levels constructed into the determinate unity that is hydrogen or water. The Triad is the form of relation — the holding of completed unities in the context that lets them stand related — which is precisely what qualitative character is: not a further structural parameter within the configuration but the relational, contextual character the configuration has, the way it stands in relation to a perceiver and to the world. Quality is Triadic because it is relational and contextual where structure is constructive and determinate. The Logos constructs the form (Dyadic); the Spirit relates its quality (Triadic). The distinction is therefore not stipulated but follows from the two forms of the divine mind.

This maps onto the classical accounts. It maps onto Plotinus's third hypostasis, Soul, which mediates between the intelligible forms of Nous and the material world, communicating qualitative determinations to matter; onto Plato's World Soul in the Timaeus, the animating principle giving the physical world its qualitative character; and onto the Kabbalistic identification of Binah as the Supernal Mother, the womb that receives the Logos's creative outpouring and gives it the qualitative form in which it can be received and known. In each, it is the third principle — Soul, World Soul, Spirit, Binah — that is responsible for the qualitative, experiential character of the manifest world. The Sefer Yetzirah's designation of Binah as the Fountain of Faith confirms it: Binah is the source from which the qualitative mode of knowing — receptive, contextual, holistic — flows into the created order. The Logos defines the mathematical form of water; the Spirit communicates wetness, fluidity, and the dissolving power that makes water the universal solvent. The flow of the Spirit's qualitative content into configured matter is effluence.

## V. The Mainstream Rebuttal and Its Limitation

The mainstream physicist's rebuttal is direct: the qualities are in the mathematics. The Schrödinger equation and its solutions fully predict the properties of each substance; there is nothing left over that needs a perpendicular source. This rebuttal is powerful and must be taken seriously. The predictive success of quantum mechanics is not in question — the bond angle of water, its dipole moment, its phase transitions are all predicted from first principles with extraordinary accuracy. If the question is whether we can predict the properties of water from the mathematics, the answer is an unqualified yes.

But prediction is not explanation of qualitative character. A perfectly accurate map of a city predicts every turn, every intersection, every building — but the map does not contain the experience of walking through the city, the feel of the cobblestones, the smell of the bakery. It predicts these in the sense that it tells you where they will occur; it does not contain them or explain their qualitative character. The mathematics of quantum mechanics is like this map: it tells you with precision what properties will appear in what configurations; it does not tell you why those configurations produce these qualities rather than others or none. The map is the

territory's shadow — accurate, predictive, structurally complete in its own register — but the territory has a dimension the shadow does not: the dimension of qualitative character. This is not a gap more mathematics can close, because the gap is not between less and more mathematics but between mathematics and quality. Adding equations does not produce wetness; adding decimal places does not transform a quantitative prediction into a qualitative explanation. The gap is dimensional — it runs perpendicular to the axis along which mathematical description operates — and it is the same gap, at the level of physical properties, that the hard problem of consciousness identifies at the level of subjective experience.

## VI. String Theory and the Independent Evidence

The philosophical argument is not the only line pointing this way. String theory — the most mathematically developed candidate for a unified theory of quantum gravity — has independently arrived at a converging structural conclusion: the mathematics requires extra dimensions beyond the four of observable spacetime, and these extra dimensions determine the qualitative character of what appears in the four observable ones. The requirement is not optional. The equations contain an anomaly — a mathematical inconsistency — unless the number of dimensions is exactly ten for superstring theory or eleven for M-theory. This is a hard constraint: the theory is self-contradictory in any other number. The extra six or seven dimensions are not added for flexibility; they are required to avoid self-contradiction.

What makes this relevant is what the extra dimensions do. In the compactification framework, the specific geometry of the compact extra dimensions — typically a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold — determines the qualitative character of everything in the four observable dimensions: the particle masses, the coupling constants, the number of particle generations, the symmetries of the forces, all determined by the topology and geometry of the compact space. The compact dimensions are the generative ground from which the observable physics is derived — the perpendicular register from which the observable physics is continuously determined and sustained. The Origin-Point Thesis locates them precisely: when a new dimension is added to a coordinate system, the new axis is perpendicular to all existing axes and passes through their shared origin. The compact dimensions are not hidden at a spatial extreme but present at the origin-depth of every spatial location, below the four-dimensional resolution threshold — the Planck scale being the physical expression of that threshold, the scale at which each point reveals its origin, and the origin is where the perpendicular dimensions are present. Gravity, the one force that propagates through all dimensions, most directly mediates this origin-point structure, its weakness explained by its dilution into the extra dimensions.

The convergence is precise. The system argues, from philosophical first principles, that the qualitative properties of configured matter must derive from a perpendicular formal register — orthogonal to the physical description, present at every point, communicating qualitative character to every configuration. String theory argues, from mathematical necessity, that the observable physics is derived from the geometry of compact dimensions perpendicular to the four observable ones, present at every point at the origin-depth, determining the qualitative character of everything that appears. These are structurally identical claims arrived at from opposite

directions — philosophy tracking the explanatory gap between mathematical description and qualitative character, mathematics tracking the self-consistency requirements of quantum gravity — and both converge on a perpendicular register, present everywhere, that determines the qualitative character of the observable world. The compact dimensions are the formal register; the formal register is the compact dimensions; and the qualities of matter are what that structure communicates, by effluence, to the four-dimensional world at every intersection where configured matter exists.

## VII. The Soul as the Qualitative Interface

If the qualitative character of matter derives from the same perpendicular register that consciousness inhabits, then the soul's experience of qualities — the felt wetness of water on skin, the perceived redness of a sunset, the tasted sweetness of honey — is not an overlay projected onto a qualitatively neutral physical world. It is the soul's direct apprehension of the formal register's content through the interface that the soul's perpendicular constitution provides. The system establishes that the six Sephirothic registers of the Ruach correspond to the six compact dimensions of string theory — not as dimensions the soul contains but as dimensions the soul reveals through its structural correspondence to the perpendicular register. The soul is the organ through which the compact-dimensional structure becomes accessible in the four-dimensional world.

The present extension is that these same six registers, through which the soul interfaces with the compact-dimensional structure, are the registers through which the soul apprehends the qualitative character of the physical world. The soul does not experience wetness by constructing a qualitative overlay on a quantitative measurement. It experiences wetness because its Ruach structure — specifically the Netzach register of aesthetic-qualitative apprehension and the Hod register of analytical identification — interfaces directly with the perpendicular formal register from which wetness is communicated to the $H_2O$ configuration. The soul's experience of quality is its experience of the formal register through the Ruach's compact-dimensional interface. The qualities are not in the soul's head; they are in the perpendicular register, and the soul has access because it is constituted as a perpendicular organ continuous with that register at its own intersection.

This resolves the long-standing puzzle of primary and secondary qualities. Since Locke, the tradition has distinguished primary qualities (extension, mass, motion — belonging to objects independently of any observer) from secondary qualities (colour, taste, warmth — depending on the observer's perceptual apparatus), the standard modern view holding that secondary qualities are not in the objects but in the observer. The system proposes a different resolution: secondary qualities are neither in the physical object, as naive realism holds, nor in the brain, as representationalism holds, but in the perpendicular formal register that intersects both — communicating qualitative character to the physical configuration from the formal side, and making that character accessible to consciousness through the soul's compact-dimensional interface. The qualities are real, they are in the perpendicular register, and they are apprehended by a consciousness itself constituted as a perpendicular organ. Consciousness and quality are not

two separate perpendicular phenomena but two aspects of one perpendicular interface: the soul revealing the formal register's content in the four-dimensional world.

## VIII. The Phi Signature

A further line of evidence — not decisive alone but structurally significant — comes from the golden ratio's ubiquitous appearance in living systems and physical structures. The standard explanation for phi's appearance is mathematical optimality: the golden angle produces the most efficient packing of seeds or leaves around a central axis, and evolution converges on it because it is optimal. This is correct as far as it goes, but it does not explain why mathematical optimality has the specific formal signature it does. In the system's framework, phi's ubiquity is a signature of the formal register — a case where the perpendicular ground of qualitative character leaves a visible mathematical trace in the physical order. The golden ratio's defining property, self-similarity at every scale, the part relating to the whole as the whole to the next larger whole, is the formal principle of fractal instantiation — the same bounded self-similarity that the contained-multiplicity pattern exhibits across the dimensional registers. Phi is the formal register's signature in the physical, the trace of the fractal recurrence by which the perpendicular ground is instantiated in configured matter.

## IX. Conclusion: From Consciousness to Nature

The argument can be stated in a single movement. The qualitative properties of material substances — wetness, hardness, colour, conductivity — are not in the fundamental particles, not in the fields, not in the mathematics, and not adequately explained by emergence, which names the phenomenon without locating the source. They derive, through effluence, from a perpendicular formal register that intersects the physical order at every point where configured matter exists, dimensionally and substantially distinct from the physical yet continuous with it at the intersection. The Logos, the Dyadic form of construction, defines the mathematical structure of each configuration; the Spirit, the Triadic form of relation, communicates its qualitative character; and effluence is the flowing-forth of that character from the formal register, which is the divine energy poured out and conditioned, into the matter continuous with it at the point of configuration. String theory's compact dimensions are the formal register described from the side of physics; the soul is the organ through which that register becomes accessible, experiencing quality as its direct apprehension of the register's content through the Ruach's interface.

This extends the system from a philosophy of mind and theology into a philosophy of nature. The perpendicularity principle, established for consciousness in the Perpendicular Axes and for the soul's structure in the Neurological Qabalah and the Structure of the Human Soul, now applies to the qualitative character of the physical world as such — and it does so in the dimensional-intersection terms the system has corrected to: not a separate realm communicating across a gap, but a dimensionally distinct register continuous at the intersection, the divine outpouring conditioned into the formal ground of quality and flowing, by effluence, into the matter it is continuous with. The same perpendicular relationship that obtains between consciousness and its

neural substrate obtains between qualitative properties and their physical substrate. The soul is not a conscious observer of a qualitatively neutral world; it is the organ through which the perpendicular formal register — the ground of both consciousness and quality — becomes accessible in the four-dimensional order.

Where does water's wetness come from? Not from hydrogen, not from oxygen, not from the bond angle, not from the mathematics that predicts the bond angle. From the Spirit's perpendicular register — the divine energy poured out and dimensionally conditioned, continuous with the configured matter at the intersection that is the molecule itself; the same register from which consciousness comes, the same register string theory's compact dimensions describe, the Triadic relating of qualitative character to the form the Logos has constructed. Quality flows, by effluence, from the perpendicular depth into the matter it is continuous with. The Logos builds the form; the Spirit gives it life.

## References and Primary Sources


Aristotle. De Anima (On the Soul). Trans. J. A. Smith. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1931.

Chalmers, David J. The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory. Oxford University Press, 1996.

Etter, Christopher E. Kenotic Effluent Panapotheism: The System Stated; Nothing and Threeness; The Bounded Fractal of Contained Multiplicity. Catharturgy Corpus, 2026.

Etter, Christopher E. The Dyad and the Triad; The Perpendicular Axes; The Structure of the Human Soul; The Neurological Qabalah. Catharturgy Corpus, 2026.

Etter, Christopher E. Strings, Dimensions, and the Structure of Reality; The Star That Measures Itself (the pentagram and the golden ratio). Catharturgy Corpus, 2026.

Greene, Brian. The Elegant Universe: Superstrings, Hidden Dimensions, and the Quest for the Ultimate Theory. New York: Norton, 1999.

Locke, John. An Essay Concerning Human Understanding. 1689. Ed. Peter H. Nidditch. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1975.

Nagel, Thomas. 'What Is It Like to Be a Bat?' Philosophical Review 83, no. 4 (1974): 435–450.

Penrose, Roger. The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe. London: Jonathan Cape, 2004.

Plato. Timaeus. Trans. Donald J. Zeyl. Indianapolis: Hackett, 2000.

Plotinus. The Enneads (on the three hypostases: the One, Nous, and Soul).

Polchinski, Joseph. String Theory. 2 vols. Cambridge University Press, 1998.